



GROUP 3600



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Takahisa UEDA

Group Art Unit: 3611

Serial Number: 08/581,050

Examiner: D. DePumpo

Filed:

December 27, 1995

Attorney Docket: UEDA3002/FJD

For:

PACKING

REPLY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In conjunction with the RCE filed on even date herewith, submitted herewith is a copy of the POST HEARING MEMORANDUM filed in the prior application.

In footnote 1 found on page 2 of the Board's Decision on REQUEST FOR REHEARING, the Board stated that the POST HEARING MEMORANDUM "... is not found in and is not of record in the application file."

The submission herewith of the POST HEARING MEMORANDUM should now make it of record. The Examiner is urged to consider this MEMORANDUM in his reexamination of claim 2, and to consider the enclosure to the MEMORANDUM along with ENCLOSURE D submitted with Applicant's Brief on Appeal.

Respectfully submitted, BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Attorney for Applicant

Registration Number 25,721

Date: July 29, 2004

Customer Number *23364* BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: (703) 683-0500 Facsimile: (703) 683-1080



GRUUP 3600

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Via Facsimile (703-308-7952)

In re Application of:

Inventor: Takahisa UEDA

Examiner: D. DePumpo

Serial No: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3108

Filed: December 27, 1995

Appeal No.: 2003-1780

Docket:

Customer No.: 23364

For: PACKING

POST HEARING MEMORANDUM

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Board Members: Mr. Cohen, Mr. Frankfort, Mr. Pate

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE U.S. P.T.O. ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW.

(PRINT) _

(SIGN) _

(DATE)

Sir:

On January 6, 2004, a hearing was conducted at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Board of Appeals.

The Board asked the undersigned whether the term "surrounding" in claim 2 was intended to distinguish over the references applied in the two rejections,